## Mobility Enhancement of Two-dimensional Hole Gas in an  $In_{0.24}Ga_{0.76}As$  Quantum Well by <110> Uniaxial Strain

L.  $Xia^{*1}$ , V. Tokranov<sup>2</sup>, S. Oktyabrsky<sup>2</sup> and J. A. del Alamo<sup>1</sup>

*<sup>1</sup> Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, U.S.A., 02139.* 

<sup>2</sup> The University at Albany-SUNY, Albany, NY, U.S.A., 12203.

Achieving a high-performance p-FET remains one of the grand challenges that stand on the way of a future complementary CMOS technology using InGaAs [1]. A critical problem is the low hole mobility in this material. In this work, we experimentally study the feasibility of hole mobility enhancement through <110> uniaxial strain added to the -1.7% built-in biaxial strain of an  $In_{0.24}Ga_{0.76}As$  quantum well (QW) on GaAs. The impact of uniaxial strain on hole mobility  $(\mu_h)$  and concentration  $(\rho_s)$  was measured through Hall measurements. Strain-induced changes in  $\mu_h$  and  $p_s$  are found to arise from a combination of piezoelectric field and valence band dispersion changes. The highest  $\mu$ <sub>h</sub> change reaches 12% per 100 MPa stress.

Our experiments studied Hall bars based on a heterostructure with a 9 nm biaxially-strained QW (Fig. 1). Our heterostructure and process yields p-channel FETs with satisfactory characteristics (Fig. 2). A chipbending apparatus [2] combined with a pair of permanent magnets (Fig. 3) was used to perform Hall measurements with various levels of stress (*σ*) applied to the Hall bars. The configurations of Hall bars and stress orientations are illustrated in Fig. 3.

The change in  $R_{sh}$  (Fig. 4) depends on the stress orientation relative to both current flow and crystal direction. The observed behavior of  $\Delta R_{sh}$  is due to a combination of anisotropic change in  $\mu_h$  (Fig. 5 and 6) and  $p_s$  (Fig. 7).  $\Delta p_s$  is almost entirely determined by the crystallographic orientation of the applied stress. This is due to the piezoelectric effect. When adding Schottky barrier height change due to the hydrostatic strain component [2], good agreement with experiments is predicted by Poisson-Schrodinger simulations (dashed lines in Fig. 7).

The change in *μ*h (Fig. 5 and 6) does not have a simple relationship to crystalline orientation of stress. Two effects are mainly responsible for  $\Delta \mu_h$ : 1) valence band (VB) warping due to uniaxial stress; 2) VB bending caused by the piezoelectric field  $(P_z)$ . Effect 1) has been seen in Si and Ge. [3] The consequence of this effect is that compressive  $\sigma_{\theta}/\sigma_{\theta}$  increases/decreases  $\mu_{h}$ . Tensile stress shows the opposite effect. Effect 2) is due to the fact that the VB dispersion relation depends on the quantization in QW[4]. Band bending due to *P*z changes the quantization and therefore the VB dispersion relation. Using *k.p* method, we simulated the VB structure in our QW including the external uniaxial strain, built-in biaxial strain and *P*z. (Fig. 8) We calculated the averaged transport effective mass  $(m^*)$ , a key factor that impacts  $\mu_h$  [5], by following the treatment of nonparabolic bands in [6]. Due to the nonparabolicity of VB,  $m^*$  slowly increases with  $p_s$  (Fig. 9) in agreement with experiments [7]. However, Δ*m*\* due to VB structure change dominates. In this there is a pronounced difference between the effect of uniaxial strain along the two <110> directions. (Fig. 9) This is because the *P*z-induced Δ*m*\* opposes or enhances the stress-induced Δ*m*\* depending on crystalline orientation of stress. In agreement with our data, the simulation predicts that  $m^*$ // is more sensitive to  $\sigma_{[-110]}$ than to  $\sigma_{[110]}$ . (Fig. 10) We notice that unlike the simulated  $\Delta m^* \perp$ ,  $\Delta \mu \perp$  is also more sensitive to  $\sigma_{[-110]}$  than to *σ*[110]. We tentatively attribute this to anisotropic structural properties along the [110] and [-110] directions observed in strained InGaAs layers [8, 9].

In sum, we experimentally studied uniaxial stress effect on a biaxially-strained  $In_{0.24}Ga_{0.76}As$  QW. The hole concentration and hole mobility change as a result of piezoelectric field and valence band dispersion changes. A unique effect suggested by our simulations and experiments is that the piezoelectric field can enhance or supress mobility enhancement. Therefore, there exists a preferred crystal direction of stress for  $\mu_h$  enhancement: our experiments suggest this direction is [-110] with  $\pi_l$  = 1.2x10<sup>-10</sup> cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn and  $\pi\perp = 0.7x10^{-10}$  cm<sup>2</sup>/dyn.

\*Corresp. author: lingxia@mit.edu, Phone:  $+1-617-258-5752$ , Fax:  $+1-617-258-7393$ 





Fig. 2. Typical output characteristics of a p-channel FET with  $L<sub>G</sub>=2 \mu m$ .



Fig. 4. Change of sheet resistance  $(R<sub>sh</sub>)$  with <110> uniaxial stress.



Fig. 5. Mobility change with <110> uniaxial stress in [-110] Hall bar.



Fig. 3. Measurement setup and notation for stress and hole transport directions.



Fig. 6. Mobility change with <110> uniaxial stress in [110] Hall bar.

o

 $-0.05$ 

 $-0.1$ 

 $-0.1$ 

ΔE-35 meV



Fig. 7. Sheet hole concentration change with  $\leq 110$ uniaxial stress in (left) [-110] and (right) [110] Hall bar.



Fig. 8. Valence band structure in 9 nm  $In<sub>0.24</sub>Ga<sub>0.76</sub>As$ QW with -1.7% biaxial strain. The inset shows the QW and wavefunctions of the top 3 subbands.

0

 $k_{<110>}$  (1/A)

0.05

 $0.1$ 

 $-0.05$ 



0.12%  $[-110]$  bar  $|\Delta \mu / \mu_0|$  $|\textit{-}\Delta m/m_0|$ 0.10%  $[110]$  bar ā 0.08% Ē  $0.06%$ ÷ 0.04% ŧ  $0.02%$ œ 0.00% a\\T-110| aT<sup>1-110|</sup> a\\1110| aT<sup>1110|</sup>

Fig. 9. Change in averaged transport *m*\* parallel (left) and perpendicular (right) to applied  $\leq 110$  uniaxial stress as a function of  $p_s$ . The thick solid lines in red (for [-110]) and blue (for [110]) represent the trajectory of Δ*m*\* with stress.

Fig. 10. Comparison between experimental mobility changes and simulated effective mass changes with uniaxial stress.

References: [1] D.-H. Kim, IEDM 2009; [2] L. Xia, IPRM, 2010; [3] S. E. Thompson, IEDM, 2004; [4] T. M. Lu, APL, 2008; [5] E. X. Wang, TED, 2006; [6] M. De Michielis, TED, 2007; [7] S. Y. Lin, APL, 1995; [8] K. Rammohan, APL, 1995; [9] S. Lohr, PRB, 2003.